

Planning Committee Tuesday 30 March 2021 6.00 pm - 6.55 pm (Via Zoom) Minutes

Attendees: Clirs. M Cox, Penny, Elsmore, S Cox, Drury, Beard, Lusty and Kyne Mr W Williams

- 1. There were no apologies received
- 2. There were no declarations of interest
- 3. There were no dispensation requests
- 4. The minutes of 9 March were approved

Cllr, M Cox signed the minutes

- 5. To raise matters from the minutes of 9 March 2021 Cllr. Penny updated re: contact with Sarah Rees-Davies, Lidl, and would report fully under Item 7
- 6. To take comments from the public forum Mr Williams stated that he was keen to hear any updates, and/or progress, re: Lidl

7. To update on Lidl access

Cllr. Penny reported that he had had further contact with Sarah Rees-Davies, Lidl, and that she had stated that she didn't understand why the town council are still pushing this, as she believed Lidl's position is clear, that they have satisfied building regulations. Cllr. Penny elaborated on his conversation, in that he had challenged Lidl's position, given their investment in Coleford. and therefore it was felt that Lidl had opportunity to improve, and engage with the community. Cllr. Penny also reported having had another site visit, where he had confirmed incomplete works re: hand rails not properly fitted, and had sent photographic evidence to Lidl. In turn, Sarah Rees-Davies had agreed to investigate with Lidl's Maintenance Team, and Facilities Management. Cllr. Penny confirmed that, having further visited the site, he had since seen some improvement, but also some further problems, and had spoken again with Sarah Rees-Davies., She is of the view that a number of the issues raised, including access steps from Lord's Hill, and Pyatt Court walkway, should be taken up with the



Coleford Town Council

landlord, Clive Bath, not Lidl. Cllr. Penny had also raised issues re: the dropping of the kerb at the crossing/footpath by the disabled parking, but Lidl's position is that no further 'kerbing' work will be done, that in their view, the 'site complies. Cllr. Penny also stated that he had raised, with Sarah-Rees-Davies, overall site issues re: improvement, e.g. litter, /planting, etc. and Sarah Rees-Davies agreed to take this up with Lidl's Facilities Management Team.

Cllr. M Cox, on behalf of the committee, expressed thanks to Cllr. Penny for his update, and endeavours to engage with Lidl. It was agreed that this item would stay on the agenda to gain feedback following, prior to consideration as to whether the town council might concede that they've pursued as afar as they can go. Cllr. M Cox asked the Assistant Clerk to pursue FoDDC re: Building Inspectorate paperwork, ie receipt of the Building Regulation certificates. Once that information is clear, and any further works by Lidl are completed, then the decision as to taking up the situation with the Buildings Inspectorate can be debated.

8. To ask for planning enforcement update

Cllr. Elsmore reported on additional enforcement resources, at FoDDC, with a new Enforcement Officer, who has begun to get on top of the backlog, although not having progressed as much as was hoped. Cllr. M Cox proposed, with unanimous agreement, that examples should be gathered, to ask for an update from Clive Reynolds, FoDDC, to include clarification on timescales, targets, etc. for completion. This will enable the town council, to monitor enforcement by FoDDC more accurately. Cllr. Penny stated that this should include outstanding issues re: Thurstan's Rise, where the town council had committed to supporting Thurstan's Rise Resident's Association (TRRA) with this matter. CH to contact David Barnham of Residents Association for details.

9. To note recent planning decisions: note P0113/21/TPO The Paddocks

Cllr. M Cox reported on this decision, that FoDDC tree officer had noted the town council's comments and asked for such information, so that decision taken in that light. Further she noted that the issues of safety were paramount, as reflected in the decision.

10. To note recent licensing decisions: F/21/00062/PAVLIC Scoffs pavement license

Cllr. M Cox reported on the revised application, which had been approved. Concern was raised that this revised application had not been passed to town council for consideration, particularly as the town council had made comments on the original application. Further discussion, included concerns re: TTRA license, which would appear not to have been fully covered by FoDDC. Cllr. M Cox proposed, with unanimous agreement, that the town council should write to FoDDC licensing to ask why revised application was not sent back to CTC.

11. To consider the following applications:

ReferenceAddressProposalComments	
----------------------------------	--



Coleford Town Council

	Bushanada Daana (ian Q		No Objection
P0382/21/TP O	Buchanan's Recreation Ground Victoria Road Coleford GL16 8DS	A1 15% canopy thinning of Ash (infected with dieback). A2 15% canopy thinning of Ash (infected with dieback). A3 Removal of up to 4 self set Ash trees, and removal of dense ivy. G10 15% canopy thinning of Ash trees	No Objection but each species to be pruned at its correct time of the year, (see
P0035/21/DI SCON	Dora Matthews House (Also Known As Land At Bank Street) Bank Close Coleford Gloucestershire	(infected with dieback) Confirmation that conditions 04, 09, 10, and 12 of planning permission P0438/13/FUL have been complied with.	arboriculturalist advice) Conditions, considered separately: 04 . can be discharged 09 . can be discharged 10 . cannot be discharged until the Planning Officer has seen evidence that this has been done in terms of Habitat Management Plan (not just a stement letter by applicant.) 12 . can be discharged
P0421/21/FU L	14 Copley Drive, Coleford, Glos, GL16 8RL	Erection of a 1.8m high close boarded fence. Demolition of existing garden	No Objection subject to the Planning Officer being satisfied re: the land to be included is in the ownership of the applicant
P0226/21/FU I	18 Worcester Walk, Broadwell, Coleford, Glos, GL16 7DL	Erection of a detached dwelling with associated works	Objection: 1. It is unclear what type of dwelling this is. The cover form says detached, but the form does not say that. Is this a separate dwelling or an extension at first floor with footway through ground floor. To be further considered, clarification



Coleford Town Council

needed and consistent drawings/plans presented. 2. There is no vehicular entrance for existing or for "new dwelling". Parking on street in the vicinity is VERY difficult.
3. The narrowness of the site
at the front is too tight for a separate dwelling, (and the
drawn boundary lines look unsupportable?)

Meeting ended 6.55pm